UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

DECLARATION OF VINEET SEHGAL IN SUPPORT OF THE TRUSTEE'S MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW TO AFFIRM HIS DETERMINATIONS DENYING CLAIMS OF CLAIMANTS HOLDING INTERESTS IN THE DAVID SHAPIRO NOMINEE, DAVID SHAPIRO NOMINEE #2, AND DAVID SHAPIRO NOMINEE #3 PARTNERSHIPS

- I, Vineet Sehgal, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare as follows:
- 1. I am a Managing Director at AlixPartners LLP ("AlixPartners"), a consultant to, and claims agent for, Irving H. Picard as trustee (the "Trustee") for the substantively consolidated liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities ("BLMIS") and Bernard L. Madoff.
- 2. In December, 2008, AlixPartners was retained by the Trustee as the Trustee's claims agent. As the claims agent, AlixPartners was responsible for both mailing the notice of the liquidation and claim forms to potential claimants and causing the notice of the liquidation to be published. AlixPartners has also been responsible for processing all claims submitted to the Trustee and assisting the Trustee in reviewing each filed customer claim to determine whether the asserted claim amount agrees with the "net equity" for that account. In addition, as the accountants for the BLMIS estate, AlixPartners has assisted and continues to assist the

08-01789-cgm Doc 16802 Filed 10/18/17 Entered 10/18/17 17:15:24 Main Document Pg 2 of 5

Trustee in accounting for the assets of the BLMIS estate, including the cash and cash equivalents available to the Trustee.

- 3. I have been actively involved in the liquidation of BLMIS and the claims process since December 2008 and have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein.
- 4. I submit this declaration based upon the information and knowledge acquired during the course of my retention and in support of the Trustee's Motion and Memorandum of Law to Affirm His Determinations Denying Claims of Claimants Holding Interests in David Shapiro Nominee, David Shapiro Nominee #2, and David Shapiro Nominee #3 Partnerships (the "Motion").¹
- 5. At the Trustee's direction, my colleagues at AlixPartners and I have reviewed the books and records of BLMIS. During the course of my involvement in this matter, I have personally reviewed thousands of documents, as well as schedules prepared and information collected by my colleagues, relating to the books and records of BLMIS, third party records, bank records and other documentation relevant to BLMIS and its customer accounts and information systems. I have reviewed the books and records of BLMIS and the filed customer claims, analyzing the cash deposit activity, cash withdrawal activity, and transfers between accounts.
- 6. For purposes of the Motion, the Trustee selected three BLMIS accounts held by the following: 1S0297 held by David Shapiro Nominee, 1S0298 held by David Shapiro Nominee #2, and 1S0299 held by David Shapiro Nominee #3 (collectively, the "Partnerships," and each a "Partnership"), which accounts (the "Accounts") are listed on Exhibit 1.
- 7. At the request of the Trustee, my colleagues at AlixPartners and I engaged in a review of the claims and other information provided by the Objecting Claimants to determine the Account of which the Objecting Claimants were claiming to have invested.

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion. Certain exhibits attached to this Declaration have been partially redacted to remove sensitive personal information.

08-01789-cgm Doc 16802 Filed 10/18/17 Entered 10/18/17 17:15:24 Main Document Pg 3 of 5

- 8. If an Objecting Claimant failed to provide a BLMIS account number, my colleagues at AlixPartners and I reviewed the claim and any supplemental information attached to the claim form. The supplemental information may have included account statements, corporate formation documents, or other Objecting Claimants' explanations concerning their exposure to BLMIS. The objections filed by Objecting Claimants generally also mention one or more of the Partnerships. The results of that review are set out on Exhibits 2 and 3.
- 9. Each of the Objecting Claimants bases his or her customer claim on the Objecting Claimant's relationship to one of the Partnerships and its respective Account. Each Objecting Claimant's claim seeks a portion of the same account money that was also claimed by the Partnership.
- 10. On the attached Exhibit 1, the fifth column displays the number of docketed objections filed by the Objecting Claimants that AlixPartners was able to correlate to one of the Accounts.
- 11. Exhibit 2 provides a list of the 7 claims and 6 docketed objections filed by the Objecting Claimants who claim to hold an interest in one of the Partnerships and who have outstanding objections to the Trustee's determination of their claims. Exhibit 2 also identifies the Objecting Claimants' claim numbers, the docket numbers of the objections as filed with the Court, the lawyers who filed the objection on behalf of the Objecting Claimants, and the Partnership Account number. Each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 was denied by the Trustee on the grounds that the Objecting Claimants lacked accounts with BLMIS and were not customers of BLMIS.
- 12. Exhibit 3 provides additional information for the same claims set out in Exhibit 2, including the date and results of the Trustee's claim determinations, and the date each objection was filed.

- 13. Each of the Partnerships filed a direct claim related to its Account seeking a distribution from the fund of customer property for its Account.
- 14. The Trustee sent letters of determination to each of the Partnerships denying their customer claims on the ground that they were net winners, *i.e.*, that each had withdrawn more money from their BLMIS Account than had been deposited, making the Accounts "net winners."
- 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the BLMIS customer file for Account 1S0297.
- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of claim 010228, filed with the Trustee by Hilda Drucker.
- 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of claim 010715, filed with the Trustee by David Drucker.
- 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of claim 013430, filed with the Trustee by Marilyn Komarc in the name of "Marilyn Komarc, Ptr. of David Shapiro Nominee."
- 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of the BLMIS customer file for Account 1S0298.
- 20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of claim 009397, filed with the Trustee by Myra M. Levine.
- 21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of the BLMIS customer file for Account 1S0299.
- 22. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of claim 010899, filed with the Trustee by Elaine Langweiler.

08-01789-cgm Doc 16802 Filed 10/18/17 Entered 10/18/17 17:15:24 Main Document Pg 5 of 5

23. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of claim 014728, filed

with the Trustee by Frederick H. Mandel in the name of "Frederick H. Mandel (Partner) David

Shapiro Nominee A/C #3."

24. Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of claim 011045, filed

with the Trustee by Irving M. Sonnenfeld.

25. The Accounts were in the names of their respective Partnership, not in the names

of the respective Objecting Claimants, as detailed on Exhibit 1 attached hereto. The total

amounts that the Objecting Claimants allege are owed to them by the respective Partnership are

not discernable from the books and records of BLMIS.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true

and correct.

Executed on October 18, 2017

New York, New York

Vineet Sehgal

Managing Director

AlixPartners, LLP

909 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

ougal